Financing transport capacity in the United States

Is experience elsewhere useful?

Presentation to Minnesota Agri-growth Council Minneapolis, MN March 21, 2002

> Louis S. Thompson Railways Adviser The World Bank http://www.worldbank.org/transport/rl_over.htm

Freight demand growth in the U.S.

Demand has grown steadily for truck and rail

The relationship of transportation demand to GDP is strong, but a chicken and egg issue

Limited potential for modal shifts

Limited effect of electronic data versus freight movement





U.S. transportation capacity history -- railroads

- Rail (responding to market incentives)
 - Early growth, then decline
 - Post –Staggers deregulation, reducing capacity, increasing demand and density.
 - Dramatic productivity growth, decline in tariffs
- Capacity is becoming stretched

Km of Rail Line in the US



Employees of US railroads



In 1998 Regional Railroads had 10,995 employees and Local Railroads had 11,741 employees

Productivity in U.S. railroads:

Index: 1982=100



US rail freight revenue (US cents/ton-km)



Source: AAR Handbooks of Railroad facts, various years

1997 U.S. rail traffic



Ton-Mi/Mi on U.S. Class I Railroads



Average U.S. freight train speed (mph)



U.S. rail freight line traffic density -- 1995



Rail traffic density comparison 000 traffic units per kilometer – passenger and freight



Note: "traffic units" is the sum of ton-km plus passenger-km

Labor productivity 1999 and compared with 1988



U.S. transport capacity: roads and highways

- Roads: (the U.S. built them and the traffic came even faster)
 - Lane-mile capacity growth
 - More rapid traffic increases (auto and bus vs. truck)
 - The urban/rural balance
- Result: increasing congestion

Lane-miles of major highways in the U.S.



Source: National Transportation Statistics 2000

Interstate Highways mileage



Highway traffic density in the U.S. Index: 1980=100

(Vehicle Miles Traveled/Lane-Mile) index





68 cities total. 50 largest plus 18 selected by States. 5 congested in 1982, 40 congested in 1997

Source: National Transportation Statistics 2000

Financing issues: past and future

 How the U.S. financed transport capacity in the past
Transport financing in U.S. compared with elsewhere

The various degrees of cross subsidy

Balance of U.S. transportation spending in 1998



Average highway user charge revenue: U.K. compared to U.S.

(US cents per vehicle mile)



Source: Sansom, Nash, Mackie, et al, "Surface Transport Costs and Charges, Great Britain, 1998 And, Addendum to the 1997 Federal Highway Cost Allocation Study, May, 2000

Ratio of highway user charge revenue to fully allocated infrastructure costs



Source: Sansom, Nash, Mackie, et al, "Surface Transport Costs and Charges, Great Britain, 1998 And, Addendum to the 1997 Federal Highway Cost Allocation Study, May, 2000

Financing capacity in the future:barriers

- What can the U.S. afford?
 - Railroads
 - Highways
- Getting prices right, for capacity and for environmental effects
- Funding and administrative barriers (FAA and air traffic control, Corps of Engineers, funding fences)
- A better public/private balance
 - Public investment in private rail infrastructure?
 - Private investment in highway infrastructure?
- New technology (road pricing, intelligent vehicles, rail signaling and electrification): does or can it promote efficiency and increase capacity?

GDP/Capita 1999 (US\$ at PPP)



Source: WDR 2000/2001, pg 274/275

Class I railroad investment in track as % of total investment





One approach to relieving urban congestion



Average U.S. freight tariffs Index: 1990 = 100



Gasoline and diesel fuel prices (US \$/gallon) 1998



Ratio of highway user charge revenue to marginal transport costs in the U.K. and U.S.



Source: Sansom, Nash, Mackie, et al, "Surface Transport Costs and Charges, Great Britain, 1998 And, Addendum to the 1997 Federal Highway Cost Allocation Study, May, 2000

Percent of marginal costs attributable to congestion



Source: Sansom, Nash, Mackie, et al, "Surface Transport Costs and Charges, Great Britain, 1998 And, Addendum to the 1997 Federal Highway Cost Allocation Study, May, 2000